

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,082	07/08/2003	Stephen Hamilton	GFI-107	9644	
²¹⁰ MERCK AND	7590 03/21/2007 O CO INC	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 2000)	JOIKE, MICHELE K			
RAHWAY, NJ 07065-0907			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		1636			
SHORTENED STATUTO	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/21/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No. Applicant(s)							
		10/616,082		HAMILTON, STEPHEN					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
			Michele K. J		1636				
T Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this commune	nication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress			
WHICHE - Extension after SIX - If NO peri - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD F VER IS LONGER, FROM THE N s of time may be available under the provision: 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cond of for reply is specified above, the maximum s reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months tent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA s of 37 CFR 1.13 munication. tatutory period wi v will. by statute.	ATE OF THIS 6(a). In no event, ill apply and will e cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tirr xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) file	ed on 19 De	ecember 200	6.					
, -			action is nor						
,		<i>,</i> —			secution as to the	e merits is			
,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-16 and 18-30</u> is/are pending in the application.									
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
•	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
, 	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-16 and 18-30</u> is/are rejected.								
· ·	aim(s) is/are objected to.	•		•					
• -	aim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or	election req	uirement.					
Application	Papers								
9)□ The	specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner	r.						
•—	•			objected to by the I	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
·—,	a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
_	Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
0.[application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
 									
Attachment(s)				_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date									
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent									
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:									

Art Unit: 1636

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of a reply to the previous Office Action, filed December 19, 2006. Claims 1, 2, 4-16 and 18-30 are pending. Claims 3 and 17 are canceled. Claims 1, 2, 10 and 12 are amended. Any rejection of record in the previous Office Action, mailed May 22, 2006, that is not addressed in this action has been withdrawn.

Because this Office Action only maintains rejections set forth in the previous

Office Action and/or sets forth new rejections that are necessitated by amendment, this

Office Action is made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 4-16 and 18-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for any yeast lacking och1 and other genes encoding mannosyltransferases, does not reasonably provide enablement for any non-human host cell, and specifically not for a yeast cell with no inactivation of a mannosyltransferase, yet comprising a mannosidase capable of hydrolyzing Man α 1,3 or Man α 1,6 linkages, or both. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to practice the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,082

Art Unit: 1636

This rejection is maintained for reasons stated in the previous Office Action.

Although Applicant amended claims to read on host cells that are "non-human" instead of "lower eukaryotic", the reasoning for limiting Applicant's scope to yeast lacking och 1 and other genes encoding mannosyltransferases still applies. Therefore, the rejection still stands.

Response to Arguments Concerning Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 112(1)

Applicant's arguments filed December 19, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The following grounds of traversal are presented:

The specification teaches that a broad range of host cells may be used in the method of invention. Additionally, the specification teaches how to use host cells from nature (i.e., higher eukaryotes) or that are engineered (i.e., most lower eukaryotes), in which the host cell expresses a class II mannosidase. Various ways to engineer a lower eukaryotic cell are also taught. Lastly, the methods are not limited to och 1 and hypermannosylation mutants in yeast, since one can use a non-human host cell.

Applicant's arguments have not been found persuasive for the following reasons.

Applicant is limited in scope to yeast cells lacking och1 and other genes encoding mannosyltransferases. Changing the host cell from lower eukaryotic to non-human has merely broadened the scope of the claims. Although, the specification states that other host cells may be used, it only provides examples of yeast strains, and

Art Unit: 1636

only those with inactivated mannosyltransferases. Therefore, it is unclear how any non-human host cell could be used in the methods described in claims 1 and 2 and their dependent claims, especially since the processing of yeast glycoproteins differs from the mammalian glycosylation system. Hypermannosylation is undesirable for the production of humanoid proteins and it is desirable to reduce or eliminate mannosyltransferase activity. While it is suggested that genes involved in glycosylation be deleted, there is no specific teaching as to which genes in which organisms (other than the teaching for yeast.)

Allowable Subject Matter

No claims are allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,082 Page 5

Art Unit: 1636

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michele K. Joike, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-5915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michele K Joike, Ph.D. Examiner
Art Unit 1636

PRIMARY EXAMINER